

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED TE TAI O POUTINI PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: Te Tai o Poutini Plan Team
Address: 388 Main South Road, Paroa, Greymouth 7805
Email: info@tppp.nz

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: **William McLaughlin**
 Contact person (if different from above):
 Postal address: **PO Box 204 Westport 7866**
 Email address for service: **wejmclaughlin@gmail.com**

The TTPP team will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
(In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
(In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

I am the quarry manager for Karamea Lime Co. The quarry is important to my business and my farm. I own rural land and am concerned about the effect of district plan provisions on my property. I, therefore, have an interest greater than the general public.


TTPP Hearing (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes No
 If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes No


Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.



 Signature of the person making further submission
 or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the
 person making further submission.



 Date

Name of Further Submitter **William McLaughlin**

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

I support/oppose the submission of: <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i>	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i>			The reasons for my support / opposition are: <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i>	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want TTPP to make)</i>
S337 Lanah Hake Tarango	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	<p>We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.</p>
	S337.001	Oppose	Mineral Extraction Zone		
S337 Lanah Hake Tarango	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	<p>We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.</p>
	S337.002	Oppose	Natural Features and Landscapes		

S342 Fernando Tarango	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	S342.001	Oppose	Natural Features and Landscapes		
S342 Fernando Tarango	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	S342.002	Oppose	Mineral Extraction Zone		

S342 Fernando Tarango	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	S342.003	Oppose	Outstanding Natural Character		
S365 Bridget Young	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	S365.001	Oppose	ONL54		

S381 Laurence Rueter	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	S381.001	Oppose	Natural Features and Landscapes		
S381 Laurence Rueter	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	S381.002	Oppose	Outstanding Natural Character		

S382 Liz Kerlake	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	S382.001	Oppose	Natural Features and Landscapes		
S382 Liz Kerlake	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	S382.002	Oppose	Outstanding Natural Character		

S409 Maria McKay	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	S409.001	Oppose	Mineral Extraction Zone		
S599 WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	<p>We support for the reasons set out in the WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited submission.</p>	We seek that all submission points be allowed.
	S599.049	Support	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		
	S599.055	Support	ECO – P7		
	S599.063	Support	NFL – P1		
	S599.065	Support	NFL – P3		
	S599.067	Support	NFL – R6		
	S599.135	Support	MINZ – R2		

S614 Karamea Lime Company	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	We support for the reasons set out in the Karamea Lime Co Limited submission.	We seek that all submission points be allowed.
	S614.175	Support	Rezoning Requests		
	S614.213	Support	Rezoning Requests		
S422 Rebecca Inwood	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	We support the submission points as they are consistent with our initial submission.	We seek that all submission points be allowed..
	S422.001	Support	Permitted Activities		
	S422.002	Support	Planning Maps and Overlays		
	S422.003	Support	Whole Plan		
	S422.004	Support	Planning Maps and Overlays		
	S422.005	Support	Planning Maps and Overlays		

Note to Further Submitter

To get your further submission to us, either:

Complete it online at: www.ttpn.nz

Email to: info@tppn.nz

Post to: PO Box 66, Greymouth, 7840

Attention: TTPP Team

Deliver to: 388 Main South Road, Paroa, Greymouth 7805

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Submissions page at www.ttpn.nz.

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.